The **International Court of Justice (ICJ)** has ruled it **lacks jurisdiction** to hear Sudan's case accusing the **United Arab Emirates** of committing genocide and supporting violence in Darfur. Sudan had filed the case under the Genocide Convention, alleging that the UAE-backed paramilitary forces involved in atrocities. However, the ICJ found that Sudan failed to prove a legal dispute existed under the Convention's terms, halting the case at the preliminary stage.

Sudan expressed its disappointment with the ruling, as the country had hoped to hold the UAE accountable for its alleged role in the violence that devastated Darfur. The case had been seen as a significant step in seeking justice for the victims of the conflict. On the other hand, the UAE welcomed the decision, asserting that the claims made by Sudan were unfounded and baseless, further denying any involvement in the genocide.

While the ICJ's ruling concludes this particular case, it does not prevent other legal bodies from investigating the claims. Sudan may still pursue other avenues for justice through international forums or alternative legal proceedings, keeping the possibility of further scrutiny on the UAE's actions in the region.